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ABSTRACT

Resistance spot welds (RSW) in transformation-induced plasticity (TRIP) steel sheets were locally postweld heat treated by applying a second pulse of current in the welding schedule. The evolution of the fusion zone (FZ) microstructure by optical and scanning electron microscopy, microhardness, and standardized quasi-static lap-shear tensile testing was investigated for all the pulse current conditions. The most important result of this study is that improved mechanical properties with desirable pullout failure mode is accomplished when the FZ microstructure consists of a recrystallized structure of martensite, which was achieved in the medium level of the second pulse current postweld heat treatment. Even though a considerable reduction in FZ hardness was observed at the lowest value of the second pulse current due to the presence of plate-like ferrite and tempered martensite structure, the failure mode and load-bearing capacity of the weldment was not improved significantly. At higher current levels of the second pulse condition, remelting occurred with consequent formation of a new weld nugget comprising columnar structure but larger nugget size giving mixed failure modes. The fusion zone microstructures and microhardness results correlated well with the simulated welding thermal history of the postweld local heat treatments.

Introduction

Continued developments in advanced high-strength steels (AHSS) have helped automobile engineers in manufacturing vehicles with lower weight, better fuel efficiency, and increased crashworthiness. Among all the advanced high-strength steels, viz., dual-phase (DP), transformation-induced plasticity (TRIP), complex phase (CP), and martensitic (M) steels, TRIP has been reported to be a good candidate to facilitate gauge reduction and attain an exceptional combination of strength and ductility (Ref. 1). Resistance spot welding (RSW) is the most widely used welding process for manufacturing auto-body structures (Ref. 2) and is also established as the favored welding process for joining AHSS (Refs. 3, 4), i.e., TRIP steel. However, it has been stated that RSW of TRIP steels has issues of weldability problems due to the relatively higher alloying level in these steels (Ref. 5). Inconsistent interfacial failure (IF) or partial interfacial (PI) failure modes coupled with diminished mechanical performance are the most common problems encountered in resistance spot welded TRIP steel (Refs. 6, 7).

One way of modifying the performance of resistance welds in general is to alter the microstructure by applying a postweld heat treatment. A local postweld heat treatment can be accomplished by inducing a second current pulse just after the primary (or first) welding current pulse. Such a resistance spot welding practice has been denominated in different ways, e.g., two-pulse current (Ref. 8), second impulse or second pulse current (Ref. 9), or in-process temper (Ref. 10). A short cooling time is sometimes allowed for the molten material to solidify completely before commencing the postweld heat treatment. Only limited efforts have been made to evaluate the mechanical properties of RSW-TRIP steel after postweld heat treatments (Refs. 5, 11). For instance, RSW-TRIP steel grades 600 and 800 MPa were in-situ tempered, and the response to tempering was evaluated through peel and hardness testing. Although the macrostructure and hardness were shown for different combinations of current and time, the correlation of microstructure evolution and temperature profiles to the lap-shear tensile behavior were not reported (Ref. 11). After welding TRIP590, cross-tension specimens were heat treated in an air (muffle) furnace for 3600 s (1 h) in the temperature range 300°–650°C. It was reported that even though the strength was improved after heat treatment at temperatures above 600°C, the influence of microstructural changes on the mechanical response was not clear due to the fact that the heat treatment was performed over the entire specimen (Ref. 12). Postweld heat treatments were applied to TRIP700 steel by means of two and three impulse current, and the cross-tension tensile failures were evaluated. It was observed that the failure mode changed from interfacial to pullout after extended welding time (three pulses); however, the final strength and detailed microstructure of the spot welded TRIP700 steel were not reported (Ref. 5).

In this work, a systematic study has been conducted on resistance spot welding of TRIP steel sheets by applying local postweld heat treatments through second impulse current in order to alter the fusion zone microstructure and improve the mechanical properties of the weldments.
zone microstructure and, consequently, the mechanical performance. In particular, the relationship has been established among the second impulse current, microstructural evolution occurring within the fusion zone, and lap-shear tensile behavior.

**Experimental**

TRIP steel with a nominal tensile strength of 800 MPa (TRIP800) was the starting material for this study. The TRIP steel used was in the form of a 1.0-mm-thick sheet. The chemical composition of TRIP steel is listed in Table 1. It can be noted that Si content is relatively high, and this steel is also known as Si-alloyed TRIP steel (Ref. 13). The carbon equivalent of the TRIP steel used here was calculated by Yurioka’s (Ref. 14) formula and is listed in Table 2. Transformation temperatures such as the martensite start temperature (Ms), and the critical transformation temperatures (Ac1 and Ac3) of the particular TRIP steel were calculated (Table 2) using the equations reported earlier (Ref. 15).

The base metal (BM) microstructure of the TRIP steel is composed of the ferrite matrix (F) in dark along with varied fractions of bainite (B), martensite (M), and retained austenite (RA), as illustrated in Fig. 1. Using metallographic techniques, the volume fraction of the retained austenite was measured to be ~12%.

Resistance spot welds were conducted in a CenterLine Ltd. 250-kVA, single-phase AC resistance spot welding machine. It is a pedestal-type, pneumatically operated machine, with a Robotron™ constant current control and applied a frequency of 60 Hz. As per the Resistance Welding Manufacturing Alliance (RWMA) standards (Ref. 9), truncated Class 2 copper electrodes having a face diameter of 6.0 mm were used. A constant water flow rate of 4 L/min was maintained for cooling the electrodes. In order to minimize the dynamic behavior of electrode break-in and wear, a stabilization procedure was performed following the AWS standards (Ref. 16).

This steel was subjected to two different kinds of welding procedures in RSW as follows: In the first type of procedure, a conventional welding schedule was applied to heat, melt, and subsequently cool down the specimen. In the second version, after a conventional welding schedule (melting and cooling), a post-weld heat treatment was carried out in the spot welding machine by reheating the specimen to a specific (aim) peak temperature followed by rapid cooling. The conventional welding schedule applied to TRIP steel consisted of a single-pulse current (SPC), and the postweld heat treatment condition was performed by a second pulse current at one of three different current levels. The schematic illustration of the welding schedules of SPC and two-
pulse current (TPC) is depicted in Fig. 2. In addition, the complete welding schedule with the technical parameters used in the study is listed in Table 3. A range of current levels between 5 and 9 kA with an increment of 2 kA were applied in the second pulse schedule/cycle. A cooling time of 20 cycles was employed between the applied pulses.

The weld nugget size was evaluated by metallographic sample preparation techniques. Several specimens from each batch of nominally identical weldments were sectioned across the weldment, covering all the weld zones (FZ and HAZ) along with the BM. Fifty percent of each specimen group was sectioned parallel to the prior sheet rolling direction and the remaining half perpendicular to it. The specimens were mounted, ground, and polished followed by etching. In order to fully reveal and delineate the weld nugget boundary (i.e., weld interface), the mounted specimens were immersed in Vilella’s solution (Ref. 17) for periods of 6 to 8 s. A minimum of four specimens from each group were analyzed for measuring the width of the nugget and average values are presented.

With the purpose of carrying on observations through optical microscopy, the specimens were chemically etched by employing Vilella’s solution for 3 to 5 s then followed by nital solution for 3 to 5 s. This etching procedure permitted analyzing the FZ microstructure; particularly for delineating the prior austenite grain boundaries and the solidification structure observed by optical microscopy. On the other hand, after etching the samples with 1% nital solution by immersion for 10 s, the microstructure was analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Vickers microhardness (HV) measurements were performed under a load of 200 g with a dwell time of 15 s and maintaining a distance of 200 μm between consecutive indentations.

Quasi-static lap-shear tensile tests were conducted with an Instron 4206 universal testing machine. Coupons were used with dimensions (105 × 45 mm) based on AWS standards (Ref. 16). A constant cross-head velocity was maintained at 10 mm/min. Shims were used for avoiding extraneous bending moments during the tensile tests.

Due to the technical difficulties in obtaining experimental weld thermal history in resistance spot welding (Ref. 18), and in order to further understand the changes in microstructure occurring due to the application of varied second pulse current procedures, numerical simulations of single-pulse and two-pulse current conditions were conducted to estimate the weld and postweld thermal history within the nugget. The weld thermal history within the fusion zone (FZ) was estimated through numerical simulation. A commercial finite element software coupled with electrical-thermal-mechanical analysis, Quick Spot by Research Center of Computational Mechanics, Inc., Japan, was used to run the thermal simulation (Ref. 19). A two-dimensional axisymmetric elastic-plastic model with a total number of 852 nodes was designed with a mesh density of 64 elements per mm² within the FZ. Material properties (i.e., thermal conductivity, specific heat, yield strength, and electrical conductivity) were taken into account and assumed to be temperature dependent. The welding parameters used in these simulations were kept similar to

Table 3 — Resistance Spot Welding Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welding current (kA)</th>
<th>Second-pulse current (kA)</th>
<th>Force (kN)</th>
<th>Squeeze time (cycles)</th>
<th>Hold time (cycles)</th>
<th>Cooling time between pulses (cycles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5, 7, 9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 — Cross-section macrostructures of TRIP steel resistance spot welded with the following: A — single-pulse current (8 kA), and second-pulse current of B — 5 kA; C — 7 kA; D — 9 kA.
those established during the welding experiments. The estimated peak temperatures of the fusion zone were obtained through nodal analysis.

The simulated thermal curves of all the four conditions studied (i.e., SPC and TPC at 5, 7, and 9 kA) are shown in Fig. 3. It should be noted that the first current impulse of the TPC specimens overlaps with the SPC curve until the former reaches the cooling temperature of approximately 800°C, and below this temperature the SPC and TPC curves separate. This is due to the fact that the electrodes are removed from the specimen surface after 5 cycles of hold time for the case of SPC, whereas a longer time of cooling (i.e., 20 cycles with the full electrode force applied as indicated by Table 3) was experienced by the TPC specimens before implementing the second impulse current. Based on the previously mentioned cooling procedure, all TPC specimens achieved an approximate temperature of 285°C upon cooling just before performing the second impulse current.

Results and Discussion

Weld Nugget

The weld cross sections of the TRIP steel welds showing various distinct regions of the weldment viz. fusion zone, heat-affected zone (HAZ), and base metal are provided in Fig. 4 for SPC and TPC specimens. The FZ optical micrographs obtained from the weld nuggets for SPC welding condition and TPC welds are illustrated in Fig. 5. It should be noted that the edge of the weld nugget (weld interface) has been delineated by dashed lines — Fig. 5.

The macrostructure of the SPC specimen in Fig. 4A shows the periphery of the weld nugget clearly delineated whereas the FZ microstructure in Fig. 5A illustrates elongated columnar grain growth that meets at the centerline of the nugget from the top and bottom weld interfaces. It is noteworthy that the solidification structure (primary structure) is partially observed along with the postsolidification weld microstructure (solid-state transformation). The elongated columnar growth seemed influenced by the solidification path of the primary structure. In fact, it has been stated that postsolidification weld microstructures are developed in the grain interior and/or along the grain boundaries of the primary structure (Ref. 20). Thus, the elongated columnar growth observed in Fig. 5A should be associated to prior austenite grain boundaries.

The representative macrostructure of the TPC 5-kA specimens shown in Fig. 4B illustrates distinctive macrostructural changes with respect to that of the SPC condition; for example, the periphery of the weld nugget seemed partially wiped out due to the effect of the postweld heat treatment (TPC). Thus, the prior weld nugget appearance partially disappeared; instead, a brighter region evolved at the center of the nugget (Fig. 4B) due to the effect of heat distribution during the second impulse current and formation of fine needle- and/or plate-like morphologies predominantly located at the centerline of the weld nugget as observed in Fig. 5B. Temperatures below Ac1 were developed...
in the TPC 5-kA specimen during the second impulse current according to Fig. 3, thus suggesting tempering of martensite at this pulse condition.

The macrostructure of the TPC 7-kA specimen (Fig. 4C) shows significant differences in the weld nugget compared to the SPC condition. For example, the original periphery of the nugget that formed during the first pulse was barely visible after the second current impulse of TPC 7 kA. The elongated columnar grain growth (first impulse current) seemed transformed into an apparent quasi-equiaxed grain morphology (during second impulse current), which is confirmed in the FZ microstructure shown by Fig. 5C. These quasi-equiaxed grains contrast well with the elongated columnar grains oriented toward the weld nugget centerline of the SPC specimen — Fig. 5A. The above results indicate that at intermediate values of second impulse current (i.e., 7 kA), the grain morphology developed during the first impulse current is transformed into new grains upon the second impulse current, thus suggesting grain recrystallization. Peak temperature above Ac3 (i.e., ~1150°C) is developed in TPC 7 kA during the second impulse current (i.e., re-austenitization), according to Fig. 3; thus, the peak temperature upon this condition is high enough for the elongated columnar grains (prior austenite grain boundaries) to recrystallize into quasi-equiaxed grains as a result of reaustenitization.

In the case of the TPC 9-kA specimen, a remelted nugget region was observed overlapped to the prior nugget of the first current impulse as depicted by Fig. 4D. The remelted nugget shape had a thinner appearance and new solidified macrostructure was revealed. The formation of the remelted nugget can be attributed to the higher current intensity of the second pulse cycle (9 kA). The resolidified structure in TPC 9-kA depicts coarser elongated columnar grains oriented toward the centerline of the nugget — Fig. 5D. It may be noted that the solidification structure is partially observed along with the postsolidification structure, which is comparable to that in the SPC specimens (Fig. 5A); however, the elongated columnar grains seemed coarser in the TPC 9-kA specimen. The average weld nugget size measured in the metallographic cross-sectioned sample is plotted in Fig. 6 for the SPC as well as the second pulse current specimens. It can be seen that the weld nugget size was constant at about 6 mm for most of the specimens, except the specimens subjected to the second current pulse of 9 kA (TPC 9 kA) that had a slightly larger average nugget size. The increased nugget size in TPC 9 kA was a result of remelting during the second pulse current. The approximate weld nugget size can also be visualized from the macrographs illustrated in Fig. 4.

**Hardness and Microstructure**

Figure 7 delineates the Vickers microhardness profiles of TRIP steel subjected to different welding conditions obtained across the welded specimens from the center of the nugget (i.e., plotted at zero in the x axis) moving toward the base metal. The path of indentations followed AWS standard procedures (Ref. 16) as indicated by the inset image — Fig. 7. The microhardness of the base metal was found situated at a distance of about 5 mm from the center of the nugget with an average value of 255 ± 4 HV. The maximum hardness values in the profiles (i.e., 520 and 545 HV for TPC 9 kA and SPC, respectively) were found at the coarse grain region of the HAZ. It should be noted in Fig. 7 that the location of the maximum hardness for the TPC 9-kA specimen was shifted to the right, which is attributed to the extension of the FZ due to remelting of the nugget as shown in Fig. 4. Additionally, a number of indentations were performed at the center of the weld nugget in order to improve the accuracy of the measurements in that region and for further comparison.
with the FZ hardness of all the specimens — Fig. 8. The average FZ hardness of the TPC 5-kA specimen (i.e., 476 HV) was lower with respect to that of the SPC specimen (i.e., 523 HV). The TPC 7 kA resulted in FZ hardness (i.e., 516 HV) comparable to that of the SPC specimen, whereas slightly lower FZ hardness (i.e., 505 HV) was measured for the TPC 9-kA specimen.

The FZ microstructures of the SPC and TPC (5, 7, 9 kA) conditions are illustrated in the SEM micrographs in Fig. 9A, and 9B–D, respectively. Predominantly martensite (M) laths with possible low volume fraction of bainite (B) located along the grain boundaries was consistently observed in the SPC and TPC 7 and 9 kA, as indicated by the arrows (Fig. 9A and 9C, D). The observed bainite displayed a morphology similar to that of typical upper bainite (Ref. 21). In addition, formation of side-plate structures of ferrite (F) in the TPC 9-kA specimen is shown in Fig. 9D. On the other hand, the FZ microstructure of TPC 5-kA specimens revealed possible tempered martensite (TM) morphology along with considerable fraction of ferrite (F) in the form of elongated needle-and/or plate-like morphology as marked by the arrows — Fig. 9B. Tempering of martensite in TPC 5-kA specimen seemed consistent with a previous report on in-situ tempering of TRIP steels (Ref. 10).

The hardness values (Fig. 8) were observed to be in good agreement with the FZ microstructures (Fig. 9). For instance, comparable microhardness was observed for the SPC and TPC 7- and 9-kA specimens in which martensite was revealed as the predominant phase along with the presence of bainite. The further reduction in hardness in the case of the TPC 9-kA specimen might be associated with the presence of small volume fraction of side-plate structures of ferrite in the remelted structure — Fig. 9D. It is worth noting that very rapid cooling rates were developed in the FZ of all specimens (Fig. 3) owing to the copper electrodes remained in contact with the steel sheet surfaces (Ref. 22), thus leading to formation of predominantly martensite. However, some differences might be pointed out among SPC and TPC 7- and 9-kA conditions. For example, the TPC 7-kA specimen achieved temperature well above the upper critical temperature Ac3 (i.e., approximately 1100°C) upon the second pulse current (Fig. 3), thus any martensite formed after the first pulse retransformed to austenite during the second current pulse with formation of new grains (recrystallization). Rapid cooling rates were experienced after reaustenitization and, again, predominantly martensite was reformed along with bainite, at this point, SPC curves and TPC 7-kA curves seemed to develop similar cooling rates — Fig. 3. On the other hand, TPC 9-kA specimens reached temperatures well above the melting point (Fig. 3) upon the second pulse current, which resulted in remelting of the FZ formed after SPC, thus forming a new nugget with a thinner ap-
lower critical temperature (Ac1) (Table 2), the maximum temperature upon the second pulse (~600°C) was below the Ms temperature achieved by the material upon the FZ just before executing the second pulse. An attempt to calculate the volume fraction of transformed martensite at 285°C was made by employing the Koistinen and Marburger formulation (Ref. 26), which could not be resolved through SEM observations. The formation of ferrite plates can be associated with the presence of retained austenite from the first pulse cooling cycle, which further transformed, during second pulse, to form ferrite plates (Ref. 27). According to the Koistinen and Marburger calculation, the microstructure just before reheating the FZ through the second pulse current was composed of approximately 28% retained austenite, which transformed into ferrite plates when reheated below Ac3 (around 600°C) during the second pulse current. After the termination of the second pulse, any rapid cooling had no effect on the microstructural changes.

### Joint Tensile-Shear Performance

The average maximum lap-shear tensile load (failure) achieved in the specimens studied is plotted in Fig. 10 as a function of second pulse current. It is to be noted that the peak load of the SPC specimen corresponds to zero second pulse current of the graph and that of TPC specimens corresponds to 5-, 7-, and 9-kA second pulse current. Figure 11 illustrates representative fractured surfaces obtained after the lap-shear tensile test of all the specimens.

An averaged maximum load of about 15.3 ± 0.6 kN was obtained on the SPC specimens — Fig. 10. Interfacial failure (IF) mode was found in the entire batch of the SPC specimens investigated; the typical IF surface is delineated in Fig. 11A. The maximum failure load on TPC specimens varied according to the second pulse current level. For instance, an averaged maximum load of 14.3 ± 0.5 kN was obtained on TPC 5-kA specimens whereas increased maximum loads of 15.7 ± 0.8 and 16.4 ± 0.5 were found on the TPC 9- and 7-kA specimens, respectively. Two-pulse current 5-kA specimens resulted in a combination of two different failure modes (i.e., mixed); for instance, 50% of the tested specimens failed in IF mode and the remaining half of the batch in partial interfacial (PI) mode. A typical example of partial interfacial failure occurring in a TPC 5-kA specimen is shown in Fig. 11B. For the case of TPC 7-kA specimens, the failure mode was completely pullout (PO) in which the fracture was basically extended along the fusion boundary for all the assessed specimens with a fractured appearance depicted in Fig. 11C. Further increasing the current level of the second pulse (i.e., TPC 9-kA) resulted in mixed failures with the following percentages: 33% as IF, 17% as PI, and the remaining in PO mode. The increased maximum load to failure for TPC 7 kA in comparison to the other conditions is attributable to consistent pullout failures observed in the full batch of assessed specimens. It is to be recalled from Fig. 6 that all the specimens resulted in comparable weld nugget size except slightly larger nugget size in TPC-9kA. Thus, it is conceivable to compare all the specimens with respect to their load-bearing capacity. Hence, it is concluded that the best lap-shear tensile performance, based on the peak load and failure mode, was achieved in the TPC 7-kA condition — Figs. 10, 11C. Interestingly, in spite of the slightly larger weld nugget size of the TPC 9-kA specimens (Fig. 6), the load-bearing capacity did not improve in comparison to that reached by the TPC 7-kA specimens.

The second impulse current condition strongly influenced on the failure mode of TRIP steel, which in fact is associated with the microstructural changes occurring in the weld nugget. For example, pullout failure in the TPC-7 kA specimen can be well attributed to formation of quasi-equiaxed grains of martensite in the weld nugget owing to reaustenitization during the second impulse current (i.e., 7 kA). In this regard, it is believed that the recrystallized grain morphology improved the weld toughness, thus impeding the possible...
fracture path along the nugget centerline.

In summary, the lap-shear tensile performance of the second pulse current condition, except TPC 5 kA, seemed to improve based on the load-carrying capacity (higher peak loads) and pullout fracture mode due to microstructural changes. Among all assessed conditions in the present study, the optimal mechanical performance was achieved by the specimens subjected to two-pulse procedures with second pulse current of 7 kA. This is basically related to the postweld local heat treatment in which temperatures above Ac3 was reached resulting in reaustenitization of the microstructure. During reaustenitization, grain recrystallization is expected to occur and change in the weld nugget toughness is achievable mainly at the FZ centerline where undesirable interfacial failures can be avoided.

Summary

The fusion zone (FZ) microstructure and the mechanical behavior of resistance spot welded TRIP steel was successfully modified by applying local postweld heat treatments by second pulse currents in resistance spot welding (RSW). The main results in this study are listed as follows:

1. Improved lap-shear tensile behavior such as pullout failure mode and increased maximum load to failure were achieved upon conditions of intermediate levels of second pulse current (i.e., 7 kA) attributed to formation of quasi-equiaxed grains (recrystallization) of predominantly martensite in the FZ through reaustenitization.

2. Tempering of martensite along with a fraction of elongated plate-like ferrite was observed at the lower levels of second pulse current (5 kA) coupled with a clear reduction in FZ hardness. However, no improvement was observed in the lap-shear tensile behavior.

3. At the higher levels of second pulse current (9 kA), remelting and formation of a new solidified elongated columnar structure of predominantly martensite microstructure was seen in the fusion zone. A fraction of side plate structures of ferrite was observed in the FZ owing to extended cooling time from peak temperature. The slight improvement in the mechanical performance was due to the increased size of the weld nugget during remelting.
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